Secl't. II-37—45,000—15-11-35.

S G

(1936) Department.

M8. SERIES.

G.O. No. 193

DATED 15-1-1937

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment - Municipal - Udipi - Two orderlies sanctioned.

MUNICIPAL **DEPARTMENT.**



Received 3-DEC 1936 19 . *Registered* 41077 19

Subject.

Establishment - Municipal Office - Orderlies - sanction solicited.

ENCLOSURES.
No. One
Spare copies

R.O.C. No. 5/35.

Station U d i p i., *Dated the* 28th November 19 36.

From (Name) M. R. Ry. M. Narayana Shenoy, B.A.,B.L.,
(Designation) Commissioner, Udipi Municipality.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT Department.
Through The Chairman, Municipal Council, Udipi.

Sir,

Para 2 - V/1

In G.O.No.4125 L & M., dated 8th October 1935, the Government were pleased to sanction the employment of two peons to the municipal office at Udipi. These peons will almost always be required in the office. The Chairman and the Commissioner have no orderlies nor are there peons available in the office who could be utilized for the purpose. Both the Chairman and the Commissioner will have perforce to receive visitors at their residences on official business and it happens that papers also may have to be attended to at home. In Cannanore and Tellichery the Chairman and Commissioner have either orderlies or peons at their residence. I understand that in Mangalore also they have a peon each.

Under these circumstances I moved the council for the appointment of two orderlies. The Council was pleased to sanction these appointments by a majority, 12 against four. The dissenting councillors have sent their minutes of dissent against the resolution of the council and copies thereof with the Chariman's forwarding remarks are enclosed for favour of information. The amount required for payment of the salary of these menials comes to Rs.60/- in the current financial year and this sum can be scraped out from the savings in the provision made under "I Management - 1 General Establishment - Salaries and allowances".

P3 cf.

PP4-8 cf

This is per item Vide c. 4, 12th 13th Sep. 1060 Hrs. G/2 15/3/32

2. The Municipality having been constituted with effect from 1-11-35, its normal average income cannot be worked out now. The property tax registers are also incomplete. I am therefore unable to say at present whether the expenditure on establishment will be within the 10% limit prescribed by the rules. The establishment is however considered essential. I beg to request therefore that the Government may be pleased to accept the proposal of the council and early orders in the matter, sanctioning the appointmnet of 2 orderlies from 1-12-36.

3. A copy of resolution No.13 dated 23-11-36 is enclosed. P3 cf.

Your obedient servant,

COMMISSIONER.

F o r w a r d e d.

CHAIRMAN, U.M.C. 30.11.36

3

Extract from the Proceedings of the Udipi Municipal Council, dated 23rd November 1936.

Present.

M.R.Ry. N. Laxminarayana Rao, Avl., B.A., B.L., Chairman.
Mr. P. Manorama Bai, Vice-Chairwoman.
M.R.Ry. P. Upendra Srinivas Nayak, Avl.,
" A. Shambhu Shetty, Avl.,
" U. Sunder Kamath, Avl.,
" K. Anantha Shetty, Avl.,
U. M. Ibrahim Shaheb Bahadur,
Doctor P. Gururaja Achar,
M.R.Ry. M. Vaman Bhat, Avl.,
" U. Keshava Prabhu, Avl.,
" K. Subba, Avl.,
" B. Vasudeva Rao, Avl., B.A., B.L.,
" K. Gururaja Rao, Avl., B.A., B.L.,
" L. S. Nayak, Avl.,
" Gratian C. Rego, Avl., B.A., B.L.,
" P. N. Madhava Rao, Avl., M.A., B.L.,

X X X

No.13. Read Office Note requesting sanction of the council for the entertainment of two orderlies on a monthly salary of Rs.10/- each per month from 1-12-36 for the Chairman and the Commissioner.

"Sanctioned by a majority"

X X X

Sd:- A. Laxminarayana Rao,
23-11-36.
Chairman, U.M.C.

/ True Extract /

Municipal Office, Udipi,)
)
Dated 26th November '36.)
S.K.

COMMISSIONER, U.M.

R.O.C.No.3216/36.

Minutes of dissent by Messrs:- (i) P.N.Madhava Rao, (ii) P.Gururaja Achar, (iii) B.Vasudeva Rao and (iv) U.M.Ibrahim Saheb.

T/245

Forwarded to the Collector of South Kanara. Sanctioned in Rs. 1958 13/5/36.

2. There are only two peons in the office and one night watchman. There are no peons or orderlies for the Chairman or the Commissioner. Both of them badly required orderlies and I understand that elsewhere, the Chairman and the Commissioner have each a peon or an orderly. Both have perforce to receive visitors on official business at home and may have to take papers home for disposal. The posts sanctioned are subject to approval of Government.

3. The amount required to pay the two orderlies in the current financial year will be Rs.60/- only and this amount is certainly available in the budget.

4. The income from the Travellers Bungalows may be sufficient to maintain them and they may not be losing concerns to the Municipality.

5. Provision is made in the budget for the employment of 5 additional sweepers and 15 additional scavengers each on Rs.10/- per month for the whole of the budget year, and what is now sanctioned by the council is only 4 sweepers and 6 scavengers on Rs.8/- per month and a mistry on Rs.12/- per month. There are only 4 months more in the current year. When the number of menials increase the supervision staff has also to increase. The supervision by the only one maistry of the work of menials in 12 wards comprising an area of 3.73 square miles will not be effective and may give rise to complaints. The appointment of one additional maistry is only a small advance. Further the second maistry sanctioned by the council will be required to supervise lighting at nights and to serve the notices of vaccination etc.

(Sd.) A.Lakshminarayan Rao.

Municipal Officer, Udipi,
Dated 29th November '36.

CHAIRMAN, U.M.

S.K.

/True copy/

Municipal Commissioner.

P. N. Madhava Rao,
Vakil.

U d i p i,
Dated 24th Nov. 1936.

To

The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Udipi.

Dear Sir,

With regard to the Resolution passed by the council yesterday on Item No.12 of the Agenda for the meeting held yesterday, I beg to record the following note of dissent:-

Note of Dissent.

The resolution for the appointment of the orderlies in question is not in order as no provision has been made in the current budget for the pay of the said orderlies. Even on the merits I am not satisfied that the appointment of the orderlies is either absolutely necessary or justified by the state of the finances of this Municipality. The Chairman appeared to hold that there was much pendency of papers in the office and that this - would be remedied by the appointment of two orderlies, one for the Chairman and the other for the Commissioner. I do not agree w that the orderlies can have anything whatever to do with the disposal of papers in the office.

Sd:- P. N. Madhava Rao,
Municipal Councillor.

/ True Copy /

COMMISSIONER,U.M.

30/11

Dr. P. G. Achar,
Consulting Physician
&
Surgeon Eye-Specialist,
(Dt.Bd.Member)

U d i p i, S.K.

Dated 24th Nov. 1936.

To

The Chairman,
Udipi Municipal Council,
Udipi, South Kanara.

Sir,

I have the honour to hereby submit the following dessenting notes against some of the items sanctioned in the Municipal Council meeting held yesterday. (23-11-36)

In the matter of entertainmng of two orderlies on a monthly pay of Rs.10/- each in addition to the two already existing office peons, sanctioned by the council in yesterdays meeting - I beg to state that though repeated requests were made during the last two months to the Chairman to supply the Councillors with full stencilled copies of the budget of this financial year submitted to the Government by the Special Officer, to enable the councillors to study the budget provisions in detail, the Councillors were - supplied only with a portion of the budget figures without the copy of the explanatory memorandum, that from the answers to my interpellations answered on 23-10-36 I find that the budget is affected to the extent of Rs.8784/- by the reduction of the annual rental values of properties and consequent reduction in the property tax after the disposal of the revision petitions by the Commissioner, that there is every possibility of a further reduction of the property tax on the disposal of appeals which are being heard now by the appeal - committee, that no information is avilable to the council as to the exact position of the finance of the municipality, that the cut in the elementary school teachers pay still continues inspite of our levying the Education tax, that as far as I know no provision seems to have been made in the budget for the appointment of the two - orderlies I am of opinion that it is not justifiable to sanction th appointment of these two orderlies at this stage without foresseing the financial implication therein. (paper No.13)

(Paper No.20) - In view of the same reasons given above I do not also approve of the sanctioning the appointment of an extra

xtra maistry on a pay of Rs.12/- per month.

(Paper No.17) For similar reasons as above I am also opposed to the taking over by the Municipality of the travellers Bungalow & rest houses.

I am of opinion that all those above items could have been postponed for consideration in the next budget meeting when the council will have the full knowledge of its financial position. Hence this dissenting note.

Yours obediently,

Sd:- P.G.Achar,
24-11-36.

/ True Copy /

COMMISSIONER,U.M.

20/11

To

The Chairman,
Udipi Municipal Council, Udipi.

From

M. R. Ry. Bailur Vasudeva Rao,
Advocate, Member Municipal Council, Udipi.

Sir,

With reference to resolution No.13, dated 23-11-36, I have the honour to state that I desire to record my minute of dissent thereto. The appointment of two orderlies without knowing the financial effects thereof cannot be approved. The consideration of the matter could have, conveniently, been referred to the Budget Committee for being thrashed out.

Thanking you, I have the honour to remain, Sir,
most
Your/obedient servant,
Sd:- B. Vasudeva Rao,

Udipi, Dated 24-11-36.2 P.M.

/ True Copy /

30/11

COMMISSIONER, U.M.

From

U. M. Ibrahim Saheb,
Municipal Councillor,
Udipi, S.K.

24th Nov. 36.

To

The Chairman,
Udipi Municipal Council,
Udipi, S.K.

Sir,

I have the honour to hereby submit the dissenting notes on some of the items sanctioned in yesterdays meeting of the municipal council as follows :-

Paper No.13:- I am opposed to the sanctioning of two orderlies on a pay of Rs.10/- each, in addition to the two existing office peons in view of the fact that no information is available to the council as to the exact position of the finance of the municipality, that a full copy of the budget is not supplied to us inspite of our repeated requests, that no provision seems to have been made in the budget for the appointment of these orderlies and that the council is not yet paying the full pay of the elementary school teachers.

PapersNo. 17 & 20:- For similar reasons as above, I am opposed to the sanctioning the appointment of a maistry and taking over of the travellers bungalows and rest houses by the municipality.

Further after my interpellation No.32 I put the following question:- Is is a fact that this newly appointed clerk is a close relation of the Chairman? This question you said you have disallowed, But I regret to note that both my question as well as your ruling are not noted in the minutes book. I request that both the question as well as your ruling on it may be noted in the munites book.

Yours obediently,
Sd:- U.M.Ibrahim,
24-11-36.

/ True Copy /

COMMISSIONER,U.M.

L. S. G Deptt

PP1-3 cf Cno. 41077 from the Commr. Udipi mty.
R.o.c. no. 5/35 dt the 28.11.36
12 cf Const. from the Cmc. Udipi dt 30.11.36.

Establishment. Municipal- Udipi-
Appointment of two orderlies for
the Commr. and the chairman.

Para 3 - T/1 | The menial staff of the
Udipi mty. consists of two peons on
Rs 12 pm. each and a watcher on
Dn. 25704-1 T/5 | Rs 10 pm. The Commr's proposal for the
31-7-36 | appointment of an orderly each for
PP1-2 cf | himself and to the Cmc. has been
P3 cf | sanctioned by the Council by a
majority of twelve against four.
The Commr. has stated that the
PP1-2 cf | two existing peons are required for the
office - does that the Commr. and the Cmc.
require an orderly each as in other
municipalities where peons are allowed
to the Commr. cf to the Cmc. The four
PP5-8 cf | dissenting Councillors have stated that especially
the appointments are not justified in
the absence of definite information
as to the finances of the mt.cl. which
came into being on 1.11.35. The Commr

has observed that the extra amount required will be met by Sanctioning provision made under management during the current year.

2. The Council's proposal does not seem to be extravagant and may therefore be sanctioned. The Inspector's views may be invited thereon.

Para 1-2 : The CC is requested to have a discussion during balance to work at a surplus of £5-6

45932

685 & ✓

Ces 7/12 HS 8/12

Insp. 10/12

D/p 11/12

L.S.Gen no. 41077 1.-11-12-36

Inspector's Office.

The Council's proposal may be approved.

8/1/37 for Insp.

L.S.G. u.o.

Inspector's u.o.
No.45932-36 A2
7--1--1937

L.S.G

A draft order is subd. for approval.

Ces 11

14/1

L.S.G Dept.

C.F. 16.

19/1/37

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying ..		
When copied ..	15/1	
When examined..		
When signed ..		
When despatched.		

SUBJECT:—

Establishment - Municipal - Udipi.
Two orderlies - Sanctions.

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

,, ,, _____ ,, _____ 19____.

READ *ALSO*:—

C No. 41077 From the Comm. Udipi Roc No. 5735, dated 28.11.1936.

,, Encl ,, Chief Udipi ,, - ,, 30.11.1936.

,, 685 ,, Insp. of mech. Rds ,, 45932 ,, 2.1.1937.

~~Order~~ ~~Resolution~~ ~~Ms.~~ ~~Rout.~~ No. 193, dated 15—1—1937.

Govt. sanction the employment by the Udipi mil. d. of two orderlies on Rs 10/- p.m. each with effect from 1.12.1936.

PJ 4

Cs 11/1

To the Comm. Udipi mtg. this
the Chief (R&B)
Insp. of mech. Rds.
Ex. LF. Accts.

15/1